arXiv:1403.0679v1 [math.AG] 4 Mar 2014

PRIMARY COMPONENTS OF CODIMENSION TWO LATTICE BASIS IDEALS

ZEKIYE SAHIN ESER AND LAURA FELICIA MATUSEVICH

ABSTRACT. We provide explicit combinatorial descriptions of the primary components of codimension two lattice basis ideals. As an application, we compute the set of parameters for which a bivariate Horn system of hypergeometric differential equations is holonomic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Bbbk$ be a field. A binomial is an element of a polynomial ring $\Bbbk[x] = \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with at most two terms; a *binomial ideal* is one whose generators can be chosen binomial. The zero sets of binomial ideals are unions of toric varieties, which makes binomial ideals important in algebraic geometry, and is one reason that combinatorial methods are very effective for studying them. Beyond their intrinsic interest, binomial ideals arise naturally in various contexts, such as combinatorial game theory, algebraic statistics and dynamics of mass action kinetics, see [M11, DSS, SS10], for references and details. These ideals are also very important in the study of hypergeometric differential equations, as is shown in [DMM10b, BMW13].

Binomial ideals are highly structured. Assuming that the field $\Bbbk$ is algebraically closed, Eisenbud and Sturmfels [ES98] have shown that the associated primes and primary components of a binomial ideal can be chosen binomial. In addition, when $\Bbbk$ is of characteristic zero, a combinatorial description of the primary components of a binomial ideal was provided by Dickenstein, Matusevich and Miller in [DMM10a]. This description involves graphs whose vertices are the lattice points in certain cones in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and are thus difficult to visualize when the number of variables is high.

If I is a binomial ideal, there exists a (multi)grading of the polynomial ring that makes I a homogeneous ideal. In fact, it is often the case that a binomial ideal is given together with a specified grading. Depending on their behavior with respect to a given grading, the associated primes and primary components of a binomial ideal are called *toral* or *Andean* (see Definition 3.5). In general, the toral primary components of a binomial ideal are more easily understood combinatorially than the Andean ones, as their graphs can actually be drawn in much lower dimension than the number of variables.

In this article, we study the primary decomposition of *codimension two lattice basis ideals*, which have a prescribed grading (see Definition 2.11, Convention 3.1 and the paragraph before Definition 5.4). In this case, it is known that the graphs controlling their toral primary components have vertices in $\mathbb{N}^2$, regardless of the dimension of the ambient polynomial ring. Our goal here is to understand the combinatorics of the Andean primary components of a codimension two lattice basis ideal; this is achieved in Theorem 5.1. To prove this result, we construct an infinite family

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 13F99, 52B20 ; Secondary: 33C70, 20M25.
The authors were partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 1001763.

of graphs with vertices in $\mathbb{N}^2$, that nevertheless contain enough information to produce the needed primary components.

As was mentioned before, binomial ideals, and in particular, their primary decompositions, play a key role in the study of hypergeometric functions. Using our new understanding of the Andean components of a codimension two lattice basis ideal, we are able to compute the *Andean arrangement* (Definition 5.4) of a system of hypergeometric differential equations in two variables, which consists of all the parameters for which such a system possesses a finite dimensional solution space (Theorem 5.8).

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Christine Berkesch Zamaere, Thomas Kahle, Ezra Miller, and Christopher O'Neill for interesting conversations while we were working on this project, and for comments on a previous version of this article.

2. Graphs associated to matrices

Throughout this article, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the monoid $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

One of the main aims in [DMM10a] is to give a combinatorial description of the primary components of binomial ideals. This description involves graphs whose vertices belong to submonoids of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and more precisely, the connected components of those graphs (see, for instance, Theorem 3.2). In this section, we study graphs arising from 2×2 integer matrices, whose vertices are elements of $\mathbb{N}^2$. These graphs are simpler than those introduced in [DMM10a], but it turns out that they are sufficient to control the primary components of the special kind of binomial ideal we are interested in.

We collect our results on graphs here, since they require no algebraic preliminaries, and may be of independent combinatorial interest. In Sections 4 and 5, we use these graphs to compute the primary components of codimension two lattice basis ideals.

Definition 2.1. Let Q be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and let M be an $n \times m$ integer matrix. We define a graph $G_Q(M)$ whose vertices are the elements of Q, and where two vertices $u, v \in Q$ are connected by an edge if and only if $u - v$ or $v - u$ is a column of M. A connected component of $G_Q(M)$ is called *infinite*, if it contains infinitely many vertices; otherwise it is called *finite*. A *finite* (or *infinite*) vertex of $G_Q(M)$ is one that belongs to a finite (or infinite) connected component. If $Q = \mathbb{N}^n$, we omit Q from the notation, and write $G(M)$ instead of $G_{\mathbb{N}^n}(M)$.

In this article, we consider graphs $G_Q(M)$ where Q is a submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such as $\mathbb{N}^n$ or $\mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-k}$ (for instance, in Proposition 4.3), or a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, such as $\{u \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \lambda_1 u_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n u_n = \lambda_0\}$, for fixed given $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ (Lemma 4.6), or $\{u \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid u_1 \leqslant \ell\}$, for fixed given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (Proposition 2.5).

We are interested in the connected components of $G_Q(M)$, and in particular, in determining whether these connected components are infinite or finite.

Our first result concerns the connected components of $G(M)$, where M is a 2×2 nonsingular matrix whose rows lie in non adjacent (also called *opposite*) open quadrants of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and $Q = \mathbb{N}^2$ is omitted from the notation.

Proposition 2.2 (Lemma 6.5 in [DMS05]). *Let $M = [\mu_{ij}]_{i,j\in\{1,2\}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2\times 2}$ of rank two, and assume that $\mu_{11}, \mu_{12} > 0$ and $\mu_{21}, \mu_{22} < 0$. Set*

$$\mathscr{R} = \begin{cases} \{u \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid u_1 < \mu_{12}, u_2 < -\mu_{21}\} & \text{if } |\mu_{11}\mu_{22}| > |\mu_{12}\mu_{21}|, \\ \{u \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid u_1 < \mu_{11}, u_2 < -\mu_{22}\} & \text{if } |\mu_{11}\mu_{22}| < |\mu_{12}\mu_{21}|. \end{cases}$$

Every finite connected component of $G(M)$ contains exactly one vertex in $\mathscr{R}$. In particular, the number of finite connected components of $G(M)$ is the cardinality of $\mathscr{R}$, which is $\min(|\mu_{11}\mu_{22}|, |\mu_{12}\mu_{21}|)$.

Example 2.3. Let $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$. $G(M)$ has $\min(|-4|, |-6|) = 4$ finite connected components, which are shown in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. The graph of M.

If $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{2\times 2}$ has all positive entries, then $G(M)$ has no finite connected components. However, in this case, it is not $G(M)$ that carries the algebraic information we need later on, but a family of subgraphs of $G(M)$, as follows.

Notation 2.4. Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}^{2\times 2}$ of rank two, all of whose entries are positive. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Q_\ell = \{u \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid u_1 \leqslant \ell\}$. We denote $G_\ell(M) \coloneqq G_{Q_\ell}(M)$, and call these graphs the *band graphs* of M. Note that $G_\ell(M)$ is the induced subgraph of $G(M)$ whose vertices lie in Q_ℓ, and consequently if $\ell \leqslant \ell'$, then $G_\ell(M)$ is a subgraph of $G_{\ell'}(M)$.

In order to understand the connected components of the band subgraphs of $G(M)$, we first analyze a special case.

Proposition 2.5. *Consider a rank two integer matrix*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $r \geqslant s > 0$, $0 < a \leqslant b$, and $\gcd(r, s) = 1$. If $\ell < r + s - 1$, then every connected component of $G_\ell(M)$ is finite. If $\ell \geqslant r + s - 1$, then for every $w_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell\}$ there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (w_0, z_0) belongs to an infinite component of $G_\ell(M)$.

Before proving this result, we introduce some auxiliary notions, and provide some examples.

Given M as above, the graphs $G_\ell(M)$ have two types of edges: those parallel to the first column of M are called the *r-edges* of $G_\ell(M)$, and those parallel to the second column of M are called the *s-edges* of $G_\ell(M)$. If $r = s$, we could refer to these edges as a-edges and b-edges.

Definition 2.6. Let M be as in Theorem 2.5, and consider $\mathbb{N}^2$ with coordinates w, z. A vertex of $G_\ell(M)$ is called a *turn* if it is adjacent to both an r-edge and an s-edge of $G_\ell(M)$. A turn (w_0, z_0) is called a *left turn* if there is a vertex adjacent to (w_0, z_0) whose w-coordinate is smaller than w_0. Turns that are not left turns are called *right turns*. Intuitively, when we walk along a connected component of $G_\ell(M)$ in the direction that increases z, we turn left at a left turn, and right at a right turn.

Example 2.7. Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The band graphs $G_4(M)$ and $G_7(M)$ are illustrated in Figure 2.



FIGURE 2. Examples of band graphs.

All of the connected components of $G_4(M)$ and $G_7(M)$ are finite. The minimum $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_\ell(M)$ has an infinite connected component is $\ell = 10$ (see Figure 3).



FIGURE 3. A band graph with an infinite component.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. Write $r = sq_1 + q_2$ where $0 \leqslant q_2 < s$. We claim that any connected component of $G_r(M)$ contains at most $2q_1+2$ vertices (implying that $G_r(M)$, and therefore $G_\ell(M)$ for $\ell \leqslant r$, has no infinite connected components). A connected component of $G_r(M)$ can only contain one r-edge since the w-coordinates of vertices in $G_r(M)$ are bounded by r. Thus, we can have at most two turns in such a connected component. We can connect at most q_1-many s-edges at each turn. Including the turns, the number of vertices in a connected component of $G_r(M)$ is at most equal to $2q_1 + 2$.

We observe that a modification of the argument above shows that a connected component of $G_\ell(M)$ is infinite if and only if it contains infinitely many left turns.

Now consider $G_{r+t}(M)$ where $0 \leqslant t < s$. We show that $G_{r+t}(M)$ has an infinite connected component if and only if $t = s-1$. Note that not all components of $G_{r+t}(M)$ have left turns, for instance, the vertex $(r+t, 0)$ is itself a connected component, which therefore has no turns. In what follows, we study how many left turns a connected component can have.

The ordering $\succ$ on the elements of $\mathbb{N}^2$ defined by $(w,z) \succ (w',z')$ if $z > z'$, or $z = z'$ and $w' > w$, induces a total ordering on the set of left turns of a given component of $G_{r+t}(M)$.

Let C be a connected component of $G_{r+t}(M)$, and suppose that (w_0, z_0) is a left turn in C. We wish to produce the next left turn of C according to $\succ$, if it exists. Since (w_0, z_0) is a left turn in C, we have $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) \in C$. This is a right turn, because $G_{r+t}(M)$ cannot contain two adjacent r-edges, as the w-coordinates of the vertices of $G_{r+t}(M)$ are bounded by $r+t$, and $t < s$. We attach s-edges to $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a)$, to produce a vertex $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) + (qs, qb) \in C$, where $q > 0$ is as large as possible. The integer q is produced by writing $r + t - (w_0 - r) = qs + [r + t - (w_0 - r) \mod s]$, where $[\alpha \mod \beta]$ denotes the remainder of α upon division by β, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha > 0$.

If $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) + (qs, qb)$ is coordinatewise greater than or equal to (r, a), then $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) + (qs, qb)$ is a left turn of C which is greater according to $\succ$ than (w_0, z_0). Now, $z_0 - a \geqslant 0$ and $b \geqslant a$ imply that $z_0 - a + qb \geqslant a$. Therefore, in order for $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) + (qs, qb)$ to be a left turn, we need $r \leqslant w_0 - r + qs = r + t - [r + t - (w_0 - r) \mod s]$, or equivalently, $t \geqslant [2r + t - w_0 \mod s]$.

Replacing (w_0, z_0) by $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) + (qs, qb)$, we see that the condition needed for the existence of a left turn which is greater according to $\succ$ than $(w_0 - r, z_0 - a) + (qs, qb)$ is $t \geqslant [2r + t - (w_0 - r + qs) \mod s] = [3r + t - w_0 \mod s]$.

Continuing in this manner, the existence of infinitely many left turns in C is equivalent to requiring $t \geqslant [\ell r + t - w_0 \mod s]$ for all $\ell > 0$. However, since $\gcd(r, s) = 1$, there exists $\ell > 0$ such that $[(\ell r + t - w_0 \mod s] = s - 1$. Therefore, if $t < s - 1$, C has finitely many left turns, and is finite, and if $t = s - 1$, C has infinitely many left turns, and is infinite.

If $t < s - 1$, a component of $G_{r+t}(M)$ either has no left turns or finitely many left turns, which shows that $G_{r+t}(M)$ has no infinite components.

Let $t = s - 1$ and $w_0 \in \{0, \ldots, r + s - 1\}$. If $w_0 \geqslant r$, then for large enough z_0, (w_0, z_0) is a vertex of both an r- and an s-edge whose other vertex has lower z-coordinate, and is therefore a left turn in its connected component, which is thus infinite. If $w_0 < r$, we can choose z_0 sufficiently large such that attaching as many s-edges to (w_0, z_0) as possible yields a left turn, which implies that the component of (w_0, z_0) is infinite.

Finally, if $\ell > r + s - 1$, for each $0 \leqslant t \leqslant \ell - (r + s - 1)$, $G_\ell(M)$ contains as a subgraph the image of $G_{r+s-1}(M)$ under the translation $(w, z) \mapsto (w + t, z)$. This implies that for each $w_0 \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$, there is $z_0 > 0$ such that (w_0, z_0) is an infinite vertex of $G_\ell(M)$. □

In the previous statement, we assumed that the entries in the top column of M were relatively prime. We now remove that assumption.

Theorem 2.8. *Consider a rank two matrix*

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

where r, s, a, b are positive integers, $r \geqslant s$, $a \leqslant b$ and $\gcd(r, s) = d \geqslant 1$. The minimal $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_\ell(M)$ has an infinite connected component is $\ell = r + s - d$. If $0 \leqslant t < d$ and $w_0 \in \{0, \ldots, r + s - d + t\}$, there exists z_0 such that (w_0, z_0) is an infinite vertex of $G_{r+s-d+t}(M)$ if and only if w_0 is divisible by d. If $\ell > r + s$, for each $w_0 \in \{0, \ldots, \ell\}$, there exists z_0 such that (w_0, z_0) is an infinite vertex of $G_\ell(M)$.

Example 2.9. Let $M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. When $\ell = 6$, the band graph $G_6(M)$ has an infinite connected component. However, the vertices (w, z) where w is odd are finite vertices for all z; see Figure 4.



FIGURE 4. The band graph $G_6(M)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{M}$ be the (integer) matrix obtained from M by dividing r and s by d, so that Proposition 2.5 applies to the band graphs of $\hat{M}$.

Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\hat{\ell} = \lfloor \ell/d \rfloor$, the integer part of ℓ/d. We show that $G_\ell(M)$ is a disjoint union of graphs isomorphic to $G_{\hat{\ell}}(\hat{M})$ or $G_{\hat{\ell}-1}(\hat{M})$.

Let $(w_0, z_0) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $w_0 \leqslant \ell$, so that (w_0, z_0) is a vertex of $G_\ell(M)$. Write $w_0 = \hat{w}_0 d + t_0$ where t_0 is an integer with $0 \leqslant t_0 < d$.

If $t_0 = 0$, then (w_0, z_0) belongs to the image of the map $\varphi_{\ell,0} : G_{\hat{\ell}}(M) \to G_\ell(M)$ defined on vertices by $(w, z) \mapsto (dw, z)$. Since r and s are divisible by d, any vertex in the connected component of $G_\ell(M)$ that contains (w_0, z_0) also has its d-coordinate divisible by d. This implies that the connected component of (w_0, z_0) in $G_\ell(M)$ is the image under $\varphi_{\ell,0}$ of the connected component of $(w_0/d = \hat{w}_0, z_0)$ in $G_{\hat{\ell}}(\hat{M})$.

If $t_0 > 0$, consider the map $\varphi_{\ell,t_0} : G_{\hat{\ell}-1}(M) \to G_\ell(M)$ defined on vertices by $(w, z) \mapsto (dw + t_0, z)$. Since r and s are divisible by d, the w-coordinates of all the vertices of $G_\ell(M)$ connected to (w_0, z_0) are congruent to t_0 modulo d. This implies that the connected component of (w_0, z_0) in $G_\ell(M)$ is the image under φ_{ℓ,t_0} of the connected component of $(\hat{w}_0, z_0)$ in $G_{\hat{\ell}-1}(\hat{M})$.

Note that the images of the maps $\varphi_{\ell,i}$ have no common vertices, and their union is $G_\ell(M)$. Now use Proposition 2.5 to obtain the desired conclusions. □

We now explain how to construct graphs arising from binomial ideals. Lemma 2.12 relates these graphs and those associated to matrices in the case of lattice basis ideals.

Definition 2.10. Let P be a monoid. A binomial ideal I in the monoid ring $\Bbbk[P]$ defines a graph $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$ whose vertices are the elements of P and whose edges are pairs $(u, v) \in P \times P$ such that

$x^u - \rho x^v \in I$ for some $\rho \in \Bbbk \smallsetminus \{0\}$. A connected component of $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$ is said to be *infinite* if it consists of infinitely many vertices; otherwise it is called *finite*. A vertex of $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$ is called an *infinite vertex* if it belongs to an infinite connected component, otherwise it is called a *finite vertex*. If $P = \mathbb{N}^n$, we omit P from the notation, and write $\mathscr{G}(I)$ instead of $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$.

Note that if $I \subset \Bbbk[P]$ is a binomial ideal, any connected component of $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$ is a complete graph.

We are ready to introduce our main objects of study.

Definition 2.11. If $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define $\mu_+, \mu_- \in \mathbb{N}^n$ via $(\mu_+)_i = \max(\mu_i, 0)$ and $(\mu_-)_i = \max(-\mu_i, 0)$, so that $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$. Let M be $n \times m$ integer matrix. We define a binomial ideal associated to M as follows:

$$I(M) = \langle x^{\mu_+} - x^{\mu_-} \mid \mu \text{ is a column of } M \rangle \subseteq \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n] = \Bbbk[\mathbb{N}^n].$$

If M has rank m, then $I(M)$ is called a *lattice basis ideal*.

Lemma 2.12. *Let M be an $n \times m$ integer matrix of rank m, and $I(M)$ its corresponding lattice basis ideal as in Definition 2.11. Let $\tau \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and let $P = \mathbb{N}^\tau \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\tau}}$. Then $u, v \in P$ are connected in $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M))$ if and only if they are connected in $G_P(M)$.*

Proof. Assume that $u, v \in P$ are connected in $G_P(M)$. We show that $x^u - x^v \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$ by induction on the length of the path connecting u to v. If this path has length one, then u and v are connected by an edge of $G_P(M)$, meaning that $u - v$ or $v - u$, say $u - v$, equals a column μ of M. Then $u - v = \mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$, so that $v - \mu_- = u - \mu_+ =: \nu$. Since $v - \mu_- + \mu_+ = u \in P$ and for all i, $(\mu_+)_i$ and $(\mu_-)_i$ are not simultaneously nonzero, we see that $\nu \in P$. But then $x^u - x^v = x^\nu(x^{\mu_+} - x^{\mu_-}) \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$, as we wished.

Now assume that u and v are connected in $G_P(M)$ by a path of length $\ell > 1$. This means that there are vertices $u = \nu^{(0)}, \nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(\ell)} = v$ of $G_P(M)$ such that $(\nu^{(i)}, \nu^{(i+1)})$ is an edge of $G_P(M)$ for $i = 0, \ldots, \ell$. By inductive hypothesis, since $\nu^{(1)}$ and v are connected in $G_P(M)$ by a path of length $\ell - 1$, we have $x^{\nu^{(1)}} - x^v \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$. But we also know $x^u - x^{\nu^{(1)}} \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$. We conclude that $x^u - x^v \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$, and therefore u and v are connected in $\mathscr{G}_P(I(M))$.

For the converse, we start by noting that a lattice basis ideal (and its extension to $\Bbbk[P]$) contains no monomials. This follows, for instance, from Lemma 7.6 in [MS], which implies that the saturation $(I(M) : \langle x_1 \cdots x_n \rangle^\infty) \subseteq \Bbbk[x]$ is not the unit ideal.

Since every connected component of $\mathscr{G}_P(I(M))$ is a complete graph, if u and v are connected in $\mathscr{G}_P(I(M))$, then (u, v) is an edge in $\mathscr{G}_P(I(M))$. Thus, there exists nonzero $\rho \in \Bbbk$ such that $x^u - \rho x^v \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$, and if $\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(m)}$ are the columns of M, we can write $x^u - \rho x^v = F_1(x)(x^{\mu_+^{(1)}} - x^{\mu_-^{(1)}}) + \cdots + F_m(x)(x^{\mu_+^{(m)}} - x^{\mu_-^{(m)}})$ for certain $F_1, \ldots, F_m \in \Bbbk[P]$. We can represent this expression as a subgraph K of $G_P(M)$: for every term λx^ν in F_i, K contains the edge $(\nu + \mu_+^{(i)}, \nu + \mu_-^{(i)})$ and its corresponding vertices. We label this edge by the coefficient λ, and we label each vertex by the combination of the labels of the edges adjacent to it, with a positive sign if we look at the vertex $\nu + \mu_+^{(i)}$ of $(\nu + \mu_+^{(i)}, \nu + \mu_-^{(i)})$, and a negative sign for the vertex $\nu + \mu_-^{(i)}$. Thus, the only two vertices with nonzero labels are u and v.

Let K_u be the connected component of K containing u. We wish to show that v is a vertex in K_u, as this implies that u and v are connected in $G_P(M)$. But if this is not the case, we can use K_u to form a polynomial expression with a summand $\lambda x^\nu(x^{\mu_+^{(i)}} - x^{(\mu_-^{(i)}})$ for each edge $(\nu + \mu_+^{(i)}, \nu + \mu_-^{(i)})$

labeled by λ in K_u, and this expression equals the sum over the vertices in K_u of the label of each vertex times the corresponding monomial. Since the only vertex with a nonzero label in K_u is u (that label is 1), then we obtain an expression for x^u as a combination of the generators of $\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$. This contradicts the fact that $\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(M)$ contains no monomials. □

With the hypotheses and notation of the previous result, we see that we can construct $\mathscr{G}_P(I(M))$ by adding edges to $G_P(M)$ until each connected component becomes a complete graph.

Given an arbitrary binomial ideal $I \subseteq \Bbbk[P]$, it is always possible to construct a subgraph of $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$ using a generating set of I, so that the underlying vertex sets of their connected components are the same (and therefore, saturating the connected components of this subgraph with edges yields $\mathscr{G}_P(I)$). For this purpose, not every generating set of I contains sufficient information. What is needed is a generating set of I that contains all the generators of the maximal monomial ideal in I. The statement (and proof) of this generalization are more technical, but follow along the same lines as above. We point out that special cases of this result can be found in the literature, for example Lemmas 1 and 2 in [MM82].

3. Primary decomposition of binomial ideals

In this section we review important facts about the primary decomposition of binomial ideals, and especially of lattice basis ideals (Definition 2.11).

Recall that $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. We work in the polynomial ring $\Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \Bbbk[\mathbb{N}^n]$ where $\Bbbk$ is an algebraically closed field. Unless otherwise specified, $\Bbbk$ is of characteristic zero (in Section 5, we use $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$).

The associated primes of lattice basis ideals were studied by Hoşten and Shapiro in [HS00]; they show that the minimal primes of such an ideal are determined by the sign patterns of the entries of the corresponding matrix. In this article, we study lattice basis ideals arising from $n \times 2$ integer matrices, known as *codimension two lattice basis ideals*.

Convention 3.1. From now on, $B = [b_{ij}]$ is an $n \times 2$ integer matrix of rank 2. The rows of B are denoted by $b_1, \dots, b_n$, and its columns by B_1, B_2. Fix an integer $(n-2) \times n$ matrix A such that $AB = 0$, and whose columns span $\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$ as a lattice.

Since B has rank two, the ideal $I(B)$ from Definition 2.11 is a complete intersection. Therefore all of its associated primes are minimal. By Corollary 2.1 in [HS00], the set of associated primes of $I(B)$ consists of the associated primes of $(I : (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^\infty)$ and the monomial primes $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ if b_i and b_j lie in opposite open quadrants of $\mathbb{Z}^2$.

All of the associated primes of $(I : (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^\infty)$ are isomorphic, by rescaling the variables, to $I_A = \langle x^{v_+} - x^{v_-} \mid v \in \mathbb{Z}^n, Av = 0 \rangle$, where A is as in Convention 3.1. The prime ideal I_A is called the *toric ideal* associated to A. It is shown in [ES98] that the primary components of $I(B)$ corresponding to these associated primes are the associated primes themselves (since the characteristic of the underlying field $\Bbbk$ is zero). Thus, we now turn our attention to the primary components of $I(B)$ arising from monomial associated primes.

One of the main results in [ES98] is that any binomial prime ideal in $\Bbbk[x]$ is of the form $\Bbbk[x] \cdot J + \langle x_i \mid i \in \sigma \rangle$, where $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $J \subset \Bbbk[x_j \mid j \notin \sigma]$ is isomorphic to a toric ideal by rescaling the variables. In characteristic zero, the primary component of a binomial ideal I corresponding

to such an associated prime is of the form $([I+J] : [\prod_{j\notin\sigma} x_j]^\infty) + \mathscr{M}$, where $\mathscr{M}$ is a monomial ideal generated by elements of $\Bbbk[x_i \mid i \in \sigma]$. A key idea from [DMM10a] is that the graphs from Definition 2.10 can be used to determine the monomials in the primary components of a binomial ideal. A specific result in this vein is Theorem 3.2 below, which describes the primary component of a binomial ideal corresponding to a monomial associated prime.

We first set up some notation. Given $\sigma \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, we set $\bar{\sigma} = \{1,\dots,n\} \smallsetminus \sigma$. Denote $\mathbb{N}^\sigma = \{u \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid u_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin \sigma\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}} = \{u \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid u_i = 0 \text{ for } i \in \sigma\}$. We consider $P = \mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ as a submonoid of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. The corresponding monoid ring is $\Bbbk[P] = \Bbbk[\mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}] = \Bbbk[x_j^{\pm} \mid j \notin \sigma][x_i \mid i \in \sigma]$.

Theorem 3.2 (Theorem 2.15, [DMM10a])**.** *Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field (of any characteristic) and $I \subset \Bbbk[x]$ a binomial ideal. Let $\sigma \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, and set $P = \mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}$. If $\langle x_i \mid i \in \sigma\rangle$ is a minimal prime of I, its corresponding primary component is*

$$\left(I : (\prod_{j\notin\sigma} x_j)^\infty\right) + \langle x^u \mid u \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ is an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)\rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, the only monomials in these primary components are those of the form x^u such that $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is an infinite vertex of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$.

Remark 3.3. Note that the monomial ideal in (3.1) is generated by monomials x^u where $u_j = 0$ if $j \notin \sigma$. Indeed, if $u \in \mathbb{N}^n$ is an infinite vertex of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$, so is $u - \hat{u}$, where $\hat{u}_i = 0$ if $i \in \sigma$ and $\hat{u}_j = u_j$ if $j \notin \sigma$. This is because monomials in the variables x_j for $j \notin \sigma$ are units in $\Bbbk[P]$.

The following useful criterion to identify the infinite components of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$ is a special case of Lemma 2.10 in [DMM10a].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $I \subseteq k[x_1,\dots,x_n]$ be a binomial ideal, $\sigma \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}$, and $P = \mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}$. A connected component of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$ is infinite if and only if it contains two distinct vertices $u, v \in P$ such that $u - v \in P$.*

The graphs $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$ used in Theorem 3.2 have vertices in $P = \mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}$. When n is large, we cannot hope to compute the finite (or infinite) connected components of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$ by simply drawing the graph. In certain situations, other graphs, whose ambient lattice is lower dimensional, contain the same information as $\mathscr{G} + P(\Bbbk[P]\cdot I)$. This happens, for instance, when $\langle x_i \mid i \in \sigma\rangle$ behaves well with respect to a given grading, as follows.

The matrix A in Convention 3.1 can be used to define a $\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$-grading of $\Bbbk[x]$, where $\deg(x_i)$ is defined to be the ith column of A. The ideal $I(B)$ is homogeneous with respect to this A-*grading* (as are therefore its associated primes and primary components). The associated primes (and primary components) of an A-graded ideal are classified according to their A-graded behavior.

Definition 3.5. Let $I \subseteq \Bbbk[x]$ be an A-graded binomial ideal, and $\mathfrak{p}$ an associated prime of I. If the A-graded Hilbert function of $\Bbbk[x]/\mathfrak{p}$ is bounded, then $\mathfrak{p}$ and the corresponding primary component of I, are called *toral*. Otherwise, they are called *Andean*.

Example 3.6. Among the associated primes of the codimension two lattice basis ideal $I(B)$, the only Andean ones are the monomial primes $\langle x_i, x_j\rangle$ such that the corresponding rows of B, b_i and b_j, are linearly dependent (and in opposite open quadrants of $\mathbb{Z}^2$).

To see this, first observe that the A-graded Hilbert function of $\Bbbk[x]/I_A$ takes only the values zero and one (and the same holds for the other non-monomial associated primes of $I(B)$). On the

other hand, whether the Hilbert function of $\Bbbk[x]/\langle x_i, x_j\rangle$ is bounded depends on the rank of the submatrix of A indexed by $\{1,\dots,n\}\smallsetminus\{i,j\}$: boundedness is equivalent to this submatrix having full rank, and this happens exactly when b_i and b_j are linearly independent.

The toral components of an A-graded binomial ideal are more accessible combinatorially than the Andean ones in general. The following result illustrating this fact is a consequence of Theorem 4.13 from [DMM10a].

Theorem 3.7. *Let I be an A-graded binomial ideal in $\Bbbk[x]$, where k is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, and assume that $\mathfrak{p} = \langle x_i \mid i \in \sigma\rangle$ is a toral minimal prime of I. Define the binomial ideal $\bar{I} = I\cdot\Bbbk[x]/\langle x_j - 1 \mid j\notin\sigma\rangle \subset \Bbbk[\mathbb{N}^\sigma]$ by setting $x_j = 1$ for $j\notin\sigma$. The $\mathfrak{p}$-primary component of I is:*

$$\left(I : (\prod_{j\notin\sigma} x_j)^\infty\right) + \langle x^u \mid u\in\mathbb{N}^\sigma \text{ is an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}(\bar{I})\rangle.$$

The main feature of the previous theorem is that $\mathscr{G}(\bar{I})$ has vertices in $\mathbb{N}^\sigma$, and the cardinality of σ can be much smaller than n. In the case of codimension two lattice basis ideals, $\mathbb{N}^\sigma = \mathbb{N}^2$, regardless of the number of variables n. In fact, if $\langle x_i, x_j\rangle$ is a toral associated prime of $I(B)$, then $\overline{I(B)} = \langle x_i^{|b_{i1}|} - x_j^{|b_{j1}|}, x_i^{|b_{i2}|} - x_j^{|b_{j2}|}\rangle \subset \Bbbk[x_i, x_j]$, and by Lemma 2.12, $\mathscr{G}(\overline{I(B)})$ can be constructed from the graph $G(M)$ associated to the 2×2 matrix M whose rows are b_i and b_j. But we have already characterized the connected components of $G(M)$ in Proposition 2.2, so we can describe the corresponding primary component by applying Theorem 3.7.

When $\Bbbk$ is of characteristic zero, this yields a very satisfactory picture of the toral components of a codimension two lattice basis ideal: the primary components corresponding to non-monomial associated primes are isomorphic to toric ideals by rescaling the variables; the primary components corresponding to monomial toral primes are described by Theorem 3.7 and Proposition 2.2.

Example 3.8. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4\\ -4 & -6\\ 2 & 3\\ -1 & 3\\ -1 & -2\\ 2 & -6\\ -8 & -12\\ -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix},$$

so that $I(B) = \langle x_1^2x_3^2x_6^2 - x_2^4x_4x_5x_7^8x_8^3, x_1^4x_3^3x_4^3 - x_2^6x_5^2x_6^6x_7^{12}x_8^6\rangle$.

The rows $(2,4)$ and $(-4,-6)$ are linearly independent and lie in opposite open quadrants, so we consider $M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4\\ -4 & -6\end{bmatrix}$. We can compute the monomials of the $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$-primary component of $I(B)$ by looking the graph of $G(M)$; see Figure 5.

By Theorem 3.7, the $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$-primary component of $I(B)$ is:

$$(I(B) : (\prod_{\ell\neq 1,2} x_\ell)^\infty) + \langle x_1^4, x_2^6, x_1^2x_2^2\rangle = \langle x_1^4, x_2^6, x_1^2x_2^2, x_2^4x_4x_5x_7^8x_8^3 - x_1^2x_3^2x_6^2\rangle.$$



FIGURE 5. The graph of $G(M)$

4. CODIMENSION TWO LATTICE BASIS IDEALS IN THREE VARIABLES

In this section, we study the Andean components of codimension two lattice basis ideals in three variables.

Notation 4.1. For this section only, and except where otherwise noted, we let B be 3×2 matrix of full rank 2 as follows:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -\lambda r & -\lambda s \\ a & b \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r, s, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $a \leqslant b$, $r \geqslant s$, $\gcd(r, s) = d$, and $0 < \lambda = p/q$ in lowest terms. We work in the polynomial ring $\Bbbk[x, y, z]$. The lattice basis ideal associated to B is $I(B) = \langle x^r z^a - y^{\lambda r}, x^s z^b - y^{\lambda s} \rangle \subseteq \Bbbk[x, y, z]$. We let $P = \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, and work with $\Bbbk[P] = \Bbbk[z^{\pm}][x, y]$.

Remark 4.2. For a codimension two lattice basis ideal $I(B)$ arising from a 3×2 matrix B as in Notation 4.1, a vertex $u = (u_x, u_y, u_z)$ of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$ that lies on a hyperplane $u_y = -\lambda u_x + \lambda \ell$, for $\ell \in \mathbb{Q}$, can only be connected to other vertices on that hyperplane. This follows from Lemma 2.12 since the columns of B are parallel to the hyperplane $u_y = -\lambda u_x$.

For B as above, we wish to compute the primary component of $I(B)$ corresponding to the (Andean) associated prime $\langle x, y \rangle$. According to Theorem 3.2, we need to understand the graph arising from the extension of $I(B)$ to $\Bbbk[z^{\pm}][x, y] = \Bbbk[P]$.

The following proposition shows that all the information we need about the infinite components of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(B))$ is contained in the infinite components of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$, consequently the graph $G(B)$ can be used to compute the primary component of $I(B)$ corresponding to the associated prime $\langle x, y \rangle$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let B and $P = \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ as in Notation 4.1. Then*

$$\{(u_x, u_y) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \exists u_z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } (u_x, u_y, u_z) \text{ is an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(B))\} =$$
$$\{(u_x, u_y) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \exists u_z \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } (u_x, u_y, u_z) \text{ is an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}(I(B))\}.$$

Consequently, the primary component of $I(B)$ corresponding to the associated prime $\langle x, y\rangle$ is:

$$(I : z^\infty) + \langle x^{u_x} y^{u_y} \mid \exists u_z \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } (u_x, u_y, u_z) \text{ is an infinite vertex of } G(B)\rangle.$$

Proof. If $u = (u_x, u_y, u_z) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is an infinite vertex of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$, then it is clear that it is also an infinite vertex of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(B))$.

Let $u = (u_x, u_y, u_z) \in P$ be an infinite vertex of of $\mathscr{G}_P(\Bbbk[P] \cdot I(B))$. By Lemma 3.4 there exists $v = (v_x, v_y, v_z), \tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}_x, \tilde{v}_y, \tilde{v}_z) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$ connected to u such that $\tilde{v}_x \geqslant v_x$ and $\tilde{v}_y \geqslant v_y$. Since u is connected to v, we can find a nonzero $\rho \in k$ such that $x^{u_x} y^{u_y} z^{u_z} - \rho x^{v_x} y^{v_y} z^{v_z} \in \Bbbk[P] \cdot I(B)$, and by clearing denominators, we can produce $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $z^\mu(x^{u_x} y^{u_y} z^{u_z} - \rho x^{v_x} y^{v_y} z^{v_z}) \in I(B)$; in particular, $\mu + u_z$ and $\mu + v_z$ are non negative. Thus, the vertices $(u_x, u_y, u_z + \mu), (v_x, v_y, v_z + \mu) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ are connected in $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$. Enlarging μ as needed, we may assume that $(u_x, u_y, u_z + \mu), (v_x, v_y, v_z + \mu), (\tilde{v}_x, \tilde{v}_y, \tilde{v}_z + \mu)$ are coordinatewise non negative and connected in $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$.

By Remark 4.2, there exists $\ell \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $v_y = -\lambda v_x + \lambda \ell$ and $\tilde{v}_y = -\lambda \tilde{v}_x + \lambda \ell$, so that $\tilde{v}_y - v_y = -\lambda(\tilde{v}_x - v_x)$. Since $\lambda > 0$ and $\tilde{v}_x - v_x$, $\tilde{v}_y - v_y$ are non negative, we see that $v_x = \tilde{v}_x$ and $v_y = \tilde{v}_y$.

In conclusion, the vertices $(v_x, v_y, v_z + \mu), (v_x, v_y, \tilde{v}_z + \mu) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ are connected in $G(I(B))$; since either $(v_x, v_y, v_z + \mu) - (v_x, v_y, \tilde{v}_z + \mu)$ or $(v_x, v_y, \tilde{v}_z + \mu) - (v_x, v_y, v_z + \mu)$ belongs to $\mathbb{N}^3$, we see that these vertices belong to an infinite component of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$ by Lemma 3.4. As these vertices are connected to $(u_x, u_y, u_z + \mu)$, we conclude that this is an infinite vertex of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$.

The last statement now follows from Theorem 3.2, Remark 3.3 and Lemma 2.12. □

For the remainder of this section, we study the connected components of the graph $G(B)$ for B as in Notation 4.1. The following definition is motivated by Remark 4.2.

Definition 4.4. For a matrix B as in Notation 4.1 and $\ell \in (1/p)\mathbb{N}$, set $S(\ell) = \mathbb{N}^n \cap \{(u_x, u_y, u_z) \mid u_y = -\lambda u_x + \lambda \ell\}$. The graph $G_{S(\ell)}(B)$ is called the *ℓth-slice graph of B*. Slice graphs are illustrated in Figure 6.

By Remark 4.2, $G(B)$ equals the (disjoint) union $\bigcup_{\ell \in (1/p)\mathbb{N}} G_{S(\ell)}(B)$ as a graph.

The following result groups the slice graphs of B according to isomorphism.

Lemma 4.5. *Let B as in Notation 4.1. Suppose $(c_x, c_y, c_z) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ is a vertex of $G_{S(\ell)}(B)$, where $\ell \in (1/p)\mathbb{N}$. Write $c_x = q\bar{c}_x + i$, $c_y = p\bar{c}_y + j$, where $\bar{c}_x, \bar{c}_y, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leqslant i < q$, $0 \leqslant j < p$. Then $G_{S(\ell)}(B)$ is isomorphic to the slice graph $G_{S(\ell - i - j/\lambda)}(B)$ that contains $(q\bar{c}_x, p\bar{c}_y, c_z)$ as a vertex. Consequently, in order to understand the (connected components of) all the slice graphs of B, it is enough to understand $G_{S(\ell)}(B)$ for $\ell \in q\mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. The desired isomorphism φ_{ij} between $G_{S(\ell)}(B)$ and $G_{S(\ell - i - j/\lambda)}(B)$ is defined by

$$(u_x, u_y, u_z) \mapsto (u_x - i, u_y - j, u_z).$$

Note that a vertex of the form $(q\bar{c}_x, p\bar{c}_y, c_z) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, where $\bar{c}_x, \bar{c}_y \in \mathbb{N}$ belongs to a slice graph $G_{S(\bar{\ell})}(B)$ where $\bar{\ell} \in q\mathbb{N}$. □

Our next step is to "straighten out" the slice graphs of B. Recall the notation of Notation 4.1. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_\ell : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{Z}^3$ be the injective function defined by $(w, k) \mapsto (qw, \lambda(q\ell - qw), k) = (qw, p(\ell - w), k)$. Note that the image $\phi_\ell(\{(w, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid 0 \leqslant w \leqslant \ell, 0 \leqslant k\})$ is the intersection with $\mathbb{N}^3$ of the hyperplane given by $u_y = -\lambda u_x + \lambda q \ell$.



FIGURE 6. Slice graphs for $I(B) = \langle x^4z - y^4, x^7z - y^7\rangle$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let B be as in Notation 4.1 and set $M = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} r/q & s/q \\ a & b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, define ϕ_ℓ as above. The image under ϕ_ℓ of the band graph $G_\ell(M)$ (see Notation 2.4) is the slice graph $G_{S(q\ell)}(B)$.* □

Since we have already studied the connected components of the band graphs $G_\ell(M)$, we are ready to compute the primary component of $I(B)$ associated to $\langle x, y\rangle$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let B as in Notation 4.1. The primary component of $I(B)$ corresponding to the associated prime $\langle x, y\rangle$ is*

$$(I(B) : z^\infty) + \langle y^{\lambda(r+s-d)}, x^d y^{\lambda(r+s-2d)}, x^{2d} y^{\lambda(r+s-3d)}, \ldots, x^{r+s-d}\rangle.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the desired component is $(I(B) : z^\infty) + \mathscr{M}$, where

$$\mathscr{M} = \langle x^{u_x} y^{u_y} \mid \exists u_z \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } u = (u_x, u_y, u_z) \text{ an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}_{\mathbb{N}^2\times\mathbb{Z}}(I(B))\rangle.$$

By Proposition 4.3, we can use $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$ instead of $\mathscr{G}_{\mathbb{N}^2\times\mathbb{Z}}(I(B))$ in the definition of $\mathscr{M}$, and by Lemma 2.12, we can use $G(B)$ instead of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$.

Now combine Remark 4.2, Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 and Theorem 2.8 to obtain the desired result. □

5. LATTICE BASIS IDEALS AND HYPERGEOMETRIC SYSTEMS

In this section we state and prove our main result, Theorem 5.1, that gives an explicit expression for the Andean primary components of a codimension two lattice basis ideal. We also provide an application to the study of hypergeometric systems of differential equations.

Theorem 5.1. *Let B as in Convention 3.1, and suppose that b_i, b_j are linearly dependent rows of B lying in opposite open quadrants of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Without loss of generality, assume that $b_{i1}, b_{i2} > 0$, let $d = \gcd(b_{i1}, b_{i2})$, and write $\lambda = -b_{j1}/b_{i1} = -b_{j2}/b_{i2} > 0$. The primary component of $I(B)$ corresponding to the associated prime $\langle x_i, x_j \rangle$ is*

$$(I(B) : (\prod_{k \neq i,j} x_k)^\infty) + \langle x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-d)}, x_i^d x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-2d)}, x_i^{2d} x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-3d)}, \ldots, x_i^{b_{i1}+b_{i2}-d} \rangle.$$

The only monomials in this ideal are those in $\langle x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-d)}, x_i^d x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-2d)}, \ldots, x_i^{b_{i1}+b_{i2}-d} \rangle$.

Proof. Let $\sigma = \{i, j\}$, and set $P = \mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}$. Choose $0 < a \leqslant b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the matrix $\hat{B} = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} b_{i1} & b_{i2} \\ b_{j1} & b_{j2} \\ a & b \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ has rank 2. Our result follows from Theorems 4.7 and 3.2 if we show that

$$\{(u_i, u_j) \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma \mid \exists u \in P \text{ an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}_P(I(B))\} =$$
$$\{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \exists c_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } (c_1, c_2, c_3) \text{ an infinite vertex of } \mathscr{G}_{\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}}(I(\hat{B})).$$

By Lemma 2.12, it is enough to show that

$$\{(u_i, u_j) \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma \mid \exists u \in P \text{ with } u_i \text{ and } u_j \text{ an infinite vertex of } G_P(B)\} =$$
$$\{(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \mid \exists c_3 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } (c_1, c_2, c_3) \text{ an infinite vertex of } G_{\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}}(\hat{B}). \tag{5.1}$$

We show $\subseteq$; the other inclusion is similar.

Let $(u_i, u_j) \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma$, such that there is $u \in \mathbb{N}^\sigma \times \mathbb{Z}^{\bar{\sigma}}$ (whose ith and jth coordinate are u_i and u_j) that is an infinite vertex of $G_P(B)$. By Lemma 3.4, there are $v, \tilde{v} \in P$ connected to u such that $\tilde{v} - v \in P$. Then there is a sequence of vertices $u = \mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(\ell_1)} = v, \mu^{(\ell_1+1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(\ell_2)} = \tilde{v} \in P$ such that $(\mu^{(k)}, \mu^{(k+1)})$ is an edge in $G_P(B)$ for $k = 1, \ldots \ell_2 - 1$.

Recall that B_1 and B_2 are the columns of B, and denote $\hat{B}_1$ and $\hat{B}_2$ the columns of $\hat{B}$. Define

$$v^{(k)} = \begin{cases} \hat{B}_1 & \text{if } \mu^{(k+1)} - \mu^{(k)} = B_1, \\ -\hat{B}_1 & \text{if } \mu^{(k+1)} - \mu^{(k)} = -B_1, \\ \hat{B}_2 & \text{if } \mu^{(k+1)} - \mu^{(k)} = B_2, \\ -\hat{B}_2 & \text{if } \mu^{(k+1)} - \mu^{(k)} = -B_2. \end{cases}$$

Choose any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\nu^{(1)} = (u_i, u_j, c)$. Set also $\nu^{(k+1)} = \nu^{(k)} + v^{(k)}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \ell_2 - 1$. Then the first and second coordinates of $\nu^{(k)}$ are equal to the ith and jth coordinates of $\mu^{(k)}$ respectively, which implies that $\nu^{(1)}, \ldots, \nu^{(\ell_2)} \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$. By construction, $(\nu^{(k)}, \nu^{(k+1)})$ is an edge of $G_{\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}}(\hat{B})$ for $k = 1, \ldots, \ell_2 - 1$, so that in particular, $\nu^{(1)}$, $\nu^{(\ell_1)}$ and $\nu^{(\ell_2)}$ belong to the same connected component of $G_{\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}}(\hat{B})$. Moreover, $\tilde{v} - v \in P$ implies that $\nu^{(\ell_2)} - \nu^{(\ell_1)} \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, so by Lemma 3.4, $\nu^{(1)}$ is an infinite vertex of $G_{\mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}}(\hat{B})$, and we conclude that (u_i, u_j) belongs to the right hand side of (5.1). □

We use Theorem 5.1 to study hypergeometric differential equations. For the remainder of this article, we work over the field $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 5.2. Let $B = (b_{rs}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times 2}$ as in Convention 3.1, and let $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We use this information to define a system of partial differential equations in two variables, called a *bivariate*

hypergeometric system of Horn type, and denoted $\mathrm{Horn}(B,\kappa)$, as follows. (Colored symbols are added for convenience.)

$$\prod_{b_{r1}>0}\prod_{\ell=0}^{b_{r1}-1}\left(b_{r1}z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+b_{r2}z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\kappa_r-\ell\right)=$$
$$z_1\prod_{b_{r1}<0}\prod_{\ell=0}^{-b_{r1}-1}\left(b_{r1}z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+b_{r2}z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\kappa_r-\ell\right),$$
$$\prod_{b_{r2}>0}\prod_{\ell=0}^{b_{r2}-1}\left(b_{r1}z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+b_{r2}z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\kappa_r-\ell\right)=$$
$$z_2\prod_{b_{r2}<0}\prod_{\ell=0}^{-b_{r2}-1}\left(b_{r1}z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+b_{r2}z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\kappa_r-\ell\right).$$

Example 5.3. Hypergeometric systems contain as examples many widely studied systems of differential equations. For example, the Appell system F_1:

$$\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}\left(z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\gamma-1\right)=$$
$$\left(z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+\beta\right)\left(z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\alpha\right),$$
$$\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}\left(z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\gamma-1\right)=$$
$$\left(z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+\beta'\right)\left(z_1\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_1}+z_2\frac{\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)}{\partial z_2}+\alpha\right),$$

arises from the matrix $B=\begin{bmatrix}1&1\\-1&-1\\1&0\\0&1\\-1&0\\0&-1\end{bmatrix}$ and the vector $\kappa=(\gamma-1,-\alpha,0,0,-\beta,-\beta')\in\mathbb{C}^6$. It is clear that if $\alpha=\gamma-1$, then the space of germs of holomorphic solutions of the Appell system near a generic nonsingular point is infinite-dimensional, as any solution of $z_1(\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)/\partial z_1)+z_2(\partial\varphi(z_1,z_2)/\partial z_2)+\alpha=0$ is a solution of the Appell system. The converse of this statement, though non-obvious, is also true. In fact, the necessary and sufficient constraints on the parameters κ for the system $\mathrm{Horn}(B,\kappa)$ to have a finite dimensional solution space can be determined using the primary decomposition of the lattice basis ideal $I(B)$ (see [DMM10b, Theorem 6.2]). The relevant object is the *Andean arrangement* of the lattice basis ideal $I(B)$ (see Definition 5.4 below).

Recall from Convention 3.1 that we have been given a matrix $A\in\mathbb{Z}^{(n-2)\times n}$ such that $AB=0$, and whose columns $a_1,\dots,a_n$ span $\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$ as a lattice. The ideal $I(B)$ is homogeneous with respect to the $\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$-grading on $\mathbb{C}[x]$ defined by $\deg(x_i)=a_i$ for $i=1,\dots,n$. If V is a $\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$-graded $\mathbb{C}[x]$-module, we define the set of *degrees* of V as follows:

$$\deg(V)=\{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}\mid V_\alpha\neq 0\}.$$

Definition 5.4. Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$-graded module and consider $\deg(V)$ as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$. The Zariski closure of $\deg(V)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n-2}$ is called the set of *quasidegrees* of V, denoted $\mathrm{qdeg}(V)$.

If A and B are as in Convention 3.1, the *Andean arrangement* of $I(B)$ is the union over the Andean components $\mathscr{C}$ of $I(B)$ of $\operatorname{qdeg}(\mathbb{C}[x]/\mathscr{C})$.

The Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ is a finite union of translates of some faces of the cone $\mathbb{R}_{>}0A$ of non-negative combinations of the columns of A (see [DMM10b, Lemma 6.2]), so it is, indeed, an arrangement of affine spaces.

The importance of this notion can be seen in the following result, which is a special case of [DMM10b, Theorem 6.3].

Theorem 5.5. *Let A and B as in Convention 3.1. Assume that the span of the columns of B contains no nonzero coordinatewise non negative element (equivalently, the cone over the columns of A contains no lines). The Horn system $\operatorname{Horn}(B, \kappa)$ has a finite dimensional solution space if and only if $A\kappa$ lies outside the Andean arrangement of $I(B)$.*

In fact, we can state a stronger result. A left ideal J in the ring D_ℓ of linear partial differential operators with polynomial coefficients in ℓ variables is *holonomic* if $\operatorname{Ext}^j(D_\ell/J, D_\ell) = 0$ whenever $j \neq \ell$. Holonomic ideals have finite dimensional solution spaces; the converse of this statement, while untrue in general (see [BMW13, Example 9.1]), does hold for bivariate Horn systems.

Theorem 5.6 (Corollary 9.6 in [BMW13])**.** *Let A and B be as in Convention 3.1. Assume that the span of the columns of B contains no nonzero coordinatewise non negative element (equivalently, the cone over the columns of A contains no lines). The Horn system $\operatorname{Horn}(B, \kappa)$ is holonomic if and only if $A\kappa$ lies outside the Andean arrangement of $I(B)$.*

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1, we are able to explicitly compute the Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ for a codimension two lattice basis ideal, thus providing a concrete description of the set of parameters for which a Horn system in two variables is holonomic (and has finite dimensional solution space). We first compute the quasidegree set for a single Andean component of $I(B)$.

Proposition 5.7. *Let B be as in Convention 3.1, and suppose b_i, b_j, λ, d are as in Theorem 5.1. Denote by $\mathscr{C}$ the component of $I(B)$ associated to $\langle x_i, x_j\rangle$. Write $\lambda = p/q$ where p and q are relatively prime integers, and assume that the vector with ith coordinate p, jth coordinate q and all other coordinates equal to zero is the first row of A. Then*

$$\operatorname{qdeg}\left(\frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{\mathscr{C}}\right) = \begin{cases} \{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \mid \beta_1 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, pb_{i1} + pb_{i2} - 1\} \smallsetminus \{pb_{i1} + pb_{i2} - p\}\} & \text{if } d/q = 1, \\ \{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \mid \beta_1 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, pb_{i1} + pb_{i2} - 1\}\} & \text{if } d/q > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We start by justifying the assumption that the first row of A consists of zeros except for the ith coordinate which equals p and the jth coordinate which equals q. We have a choice of A in Convention 3.1: any A whose rows are a basis of the left kernel of B, and whose columns span $\mathbb{Z}^d$ as a lattice can be used. Since the row we want is an element of the left kernel of B, and its only two nonzero entries are relatively prime, we are allowed to use it.

As b_i and b_j are linearly dependent, the submatrix of A with columns a_k for $k \neq i, j$ has rank $n-3$. Because the first row of this submatrix consists of all zeros, we see that, fixing natural numbers u_i, u_j and varying $u_k \in \mathbb{N}$ for $k \neq i, j$, the points Au are Zariski dense in the set $\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n-3} \mid \beta_1 = pu_1 + qu_2\}$.

Now note that the degree α graded piece $(\mathbb{C}[x]/\mathscr{C})_\alpha$ equals 0 for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$ if and only if $\mathbb{C}[x]_\alpha \subseteq \mathscr{C}$; also $\mathbb{C}[x]_\alpha$ is spanned by monomials, and the only monomials in $\mathscr{C}$ are those in $\mathscr{M} = \langle x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-d)}, x_i^d x_j^{\lambda(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-2d)}, \ldots, x_i^{b_{i1}+b_{i2}-d}\rangle$.

Thus,

$$\operatorname{qdeg}(\mathbb{C}[x]/\mathscr{C}) = \big\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n-3} \mid \beta_1 \in \{pu_i + qu_j \mid u_i, u_j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x_i^{u_i}x_j^{u_j} \notin \mathscr{M}\}\big\}.$$

For fixed ℓ, consider the line $L_\ell = \{(w,z) \mid pu_i + qu_j = \ell\}$. Given $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$; we wish to know wether or not all $(u_i, u_j) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \cap L_\ell$ are exponent vectors of monomials in $\mathscr{M}$. By construction of $\mathscr{M}$ this occurs if $\ell \geqslant pb_{i1} + qb_{i2}$. If $\ell < pb_{i1} + qb_{i2}$, then L_ℓ always contains an exponent vector of a monomial *not* in $\mathscr{M}$, unless $d = q$, in which case the intersection $\mathbb{N}^2 \cap L_{p(b_{i1}+b_{i2}-1)}$ consists of exponent vectors of monomials in $\mathscr{M}$. □

Theorem 5.8. *Let B be as in Convention 3.1, and let*

$$\mathscr{S} = \{\{i,j\} \mid \textit{ the rows } b_i \textit{ and } b_j \textit{ of } B \textit{ are linearly dependent in opposite open quadrants }\}.$$

For each $\sigma = \{i,j\} \in \mathscr{S}$, assume $i < j$ and let $\lambda_\sigma = -b_{j1}/b_{i1} = -b_{j2}/b_{i2}$. Write $\lambda_\sigma = p_\sigma/q_\sigma$ for $p_\sigma, q_\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ relatively prime. We can find $h_\sigma \in \mathbb{Q}^{n-2}$ such that $h_\sigma A$ has ith coordinate p_σ, jth coordinate q_σ, and all other entries equal to zero. The Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ equals

$$\bigcup_{\sigma=\{i,j\}\in\mathscr{S}} \left\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \,\middle|\, h_\sigma \cdot \beta \in \begin{cases} \{0,1,\ldots,p_\sigma|b_{i1}| + p_\sigma|b_{i2}| - 1\} \smallsetminus \{p_\sigma|b_{i1}| + p_\sigma|b_{i2}| - p_\sigma\} & \text{if } d_\sigma/q_\sigma = 1, \\ \{0,1,\ldots,p_\sigma|b_{i1}| + p_\sigma|b_{i2}| - 1\} & \text{if } d_\sigma/q_\sigma > 1. \end{cases}\right\}.$$

Example 5.9. We apply the previous result to the case Appell F_1, and compute the Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ for $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Only the first two rows of B are linearly dependent in opposite open quadrants of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, and we can choose $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1&1&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&1&0&1 \\ 1&0&0&0&1&1 \end{bmatrix}$. The Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ equals

$$\{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid \beta_1 \in \{0,1\} \smallsetminus \{1\}\} = \{\beta \in \mathbb{C}^4 \mid \beta_1 = 0\}.$$

By Theorems 5.5 and 5.6, the system $\operatorname{Horn}(B,\kappa)$ for $\kappa = (\gamma - 1, \alpha, 0, 0, -\beta, -\beta')$ is holonomic if and only if it has a finite dimensional solution space, if and only if $\beta_1 = \gamma - 1 - \alpha = 0$, as we claimed in Example 5.3.

At first glance, there is no obvious relationship between the Horn system $\operatorname{Horn}(B,\kappa)$ and the lattice basis ideal $I(B)$, so it is unclear why the Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ influences the behavior of $\operatorname{Horn}(B,\kappa)$. The key idea is due to Gelfand, Graev, Kapranov and Zelevinsky, who introduced a system

$$\frac{\partial^{|u_+|}\psi(y_1,\ldots,y_n)}{\partial y_1^{(u_+)_1}\cdots\partial y_n^{(u_+)_n}} = \frac{\partial^{|u_-|}\psi(y_1,\ldots,y_n)}{\partial y_1^{(u_-)_1}\cdots\partial y_n^{(u_-)_n}} \qquad \text{for } u \text{ a column of } B,$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}\frac{\psi(y_1,\ldots,y_n)}{\partial y_j} = (A\kappa)_i\psi(y_1,\ldots,y_n) \qquad \text{for } i = 1,\ldots n-2,$$

denoted $H(B, A\kappa)$, and noticed that if $\varphi(z_1,z_2)$ is a solution of $\operatorname{Horn}(B,\kappa)$ then $y^\kappa\varphi(y^{B_1}, y^{B_2})$ is a solution of $H(B,A\kappa)$ (recall that B_1 and B_2 are the columns of B). Since $H(B,A\kappa)$ contains the generators of the lattice basis ideal $I(B)$ as differential equations, it is natural that the behavior of $I(B)$ should impact $H(B,A\kappa)$. We illustrate this mechanism in an example.

Example 5.10. Let $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -2 & -4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. We use $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The Andean arrangement of $I(B)$ equals the quasidegree set of the component corresponding to the associated prime $\langle x_1, x_2\rangle$. In this case,

$\lambda = 1 = p = q$, and $\gcd(2/q, 4/q) = 2$, so we know that $A\kappa = 2 + 4 - 2 = 4$ implies that $\mathrm{Horn}(B, \kappa)$ is not holonomic, and has infinite dimensional solution space. Let us exhibit infinitely many linearly independent solutions for $\mathrm{Horn}(B, (1, 3, 0))$.

It is easier to produce solutions if we start by considering $H(B, 4)$. Intuitively, the vertices in a connected component of $\mathscr{G}(I(B))$ that lies in the ℓth slice graph of $I(B)$, are the monomials appearing in a series expansion of a solution of $H(B, A \cdot (\lambda\ell, 0, 0)) = H(B, \ell)$. Since their monomial sets are disjoint, solutions arising from different connected components are linearly independent. Each slice graph contains infinitely many vertices, so the only way this method produces a finite dimensional solution space is if the connected components contain infinitely many vertices (and thus give rise to solutions that are infinite series). If the connected components of a given slice graph are all finite, there must be infinitely many of them, and this provides an infinite dimensional subspace of the solution space of $H(B, \ell)$.

More concretely, for $\ell = 4$ and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{(1, 3, k), (3, 1, k + 1)\}$ is a connected component of $\mathscr{G}_{S(4)}(I(B))$. We propose a solution of $H(B, 4)$ which is a linear combination of $y_1 y_2^3 y_3^k$ and $y_1^3 y_2 y_3^{k+1}$. Solving for the coefficients, we see that $f_k(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (k + 1)y_1 y_2^3 y_3^k + y_1^3 y_2 y_3^{k+1}$ is a solution of $H(B, 4)$.

We know that if we can write $f(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1 y_2^3 F(y_1^2 y_3/y_2^2, y_1^4 y_3/y_2^4)$, and $f(y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is a solution of $H(B, 4)$, then $F(z_1, z_2)$ is a solution of $\mathrm{Horn}(B, (1, 3, 0))$. Using the expression $y_3 = (y_1^2 y_3/y_2^2)^2/(y_1^4 y_3/y_2^4)$, we see that $f_k(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1 y_2^3 F_k(y_1^2 y_3/y_2^2, y_1^4 y_3/y_2^4)$, where $F_k(z_1, z_2) = (k + 1)(z_1^2/z_2)^k(1 + z_1)$. The functions $F_k(z_1, z_2)$ span an infinite dimensional subspace of the solution space of $\mathrm{Horn}(B, (1, 3, 0))$. Note that in this example, there is no obvious common factor in the equations $\mathrm{Horn}(B, (1, 3, 0))$, as was the case for the Appell system F_1.

REFERENCES

[BMW13] Christine Berkesch-Zamaere, Laura Felicia Matusevich and Uli Walther, *Torus equivariant D-modules and hypergeometric systems*. Preprint, 2013. arXiv:1308:5901.

[DMM10a] Alicia Dickenstein, Laura Felicia Matusevich and Ezra Miller, *Combinatorics of binomial primary decomposition*, Math. Z. **264** (2010), no. 4, 745–763.

[DMM10b] Alicia Dickenstein, Laura Felicia Matusevich and Ezra Miller, *Binomial D-modules*, Duke Math. J. **151** (2010), no. 3, 385–429.

[DMS05] Alicia Dickenstein, Laura Felicia Matusevich and Timur Sadikov, *Bivariate hypergeometric D-modules*, Adv. Math. **196** (2005), no. 1, 78–123.

[DSS] Mathias Drton, Bernd Sturmfels and Seth Sullivant, *Lectures on Algebraic Statistics*, Oberwolfach Seminars, **40**. Birkhauser, Basel, 2009.

[ES98] David Eisenbud and Bernd Sturmfels, *Binomial ideals*. Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.

[HS00] Serkan Hosten and Jay Shapiro, *Primary decomposition of lattice basis ideals*, Symbolic computation in algebra, analysis, and geometry (Berkeley, CA, 1998). J. Symbolic Comput. **29** (2000), no. 4–5, 625–639.

[MM82] Ernst Mayr and Albert Meyer, *The complexity of the word problem for commutative semigroups and polynomial ideals*, Adv. in Math. **46** (1982), no. 3, 305–329.

[M11] Ezra Miller, *Theory and applications of lattice point methods for binomial ideals*, Combinatorial aspects of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, 99–154, Abel Symp., **6**, Springer, Berlin, 2011.

[MS] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels. *Combinatorial Commutative Algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **227**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[SS10] Anne Shiu and Bernd Sturmfels, *Siphons in chemical reaction networks* Bull. Math. Biol. **72** (2010), no. 6, 1448–1463.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843.
E-mail address: sahin@math.tamu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843.
E-mail address: laura@math.tamu.edu